UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,907	09/27/2005	Gil Ben-Menachem	4239-68220-03	4584
	7590 07/24/200 SPARKMAN, LLP	9	EXAMINER	
121 S.W. SALN			QAZI, SABIHA NAIM	
SUITE #1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204-2988			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/550,907	BEN-MENACHEM ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sabiha Qazi	1612	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_	
(1) <u>Sabiha Qazi, Ph.D. (Examiner)</u> .	(3)		
(2) Wayne W. Rupert (Attorney).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 23 July 2009	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: Original claims 5 and 7 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
/Sabiha Qazi/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called to discuss the dependency of claim 7 (MPEP 806.01(n)). Mr. Rupert proposed some amendments in claim 7 and Examiner proposed amendments in claim 5. Agreement was reached and Mr. Rupert authorized the Examiner to make these changes in claims 5 and 7 by Examiner's amnedments.